

HLA Board Meeting March 16, 2015
Minutes
Call to Order: 7:01 pm

Roll Call

<u>Present</u>			<u>Absent</u>
President Coan	Secretary Shake	Director Weir	Vice Pres. Stickrod
Director Buckowski	Treasurer Waldorf	Director Pirtle	

Meet the Candidates

Approval of Previous Minutes

Motion By: Director Weir

Seconded By: Director Pirtle

Vote tally: 5-0

Approval of Expenditures

Motion By: Secretary Shake

Seconded By: Treasurer Waldorf

Vote tally: 5-0

Treasurer's Report

Please see page

Questions:

None

President's Report

- I called Randy, we've had a few problems with people driving through yards and excessive burnouts on Westminster, he's addressed those.
- I've taken pictures of the blowouts on the roads so we can document how bad the winter was.
- I talked to Don Keen at Ameren, and we will meet with them to figure out how to deal with Ameren's damage. We're not 100% sure how they will deal with it. Either cut a check or hire their own contractor.
 - Director Weir: I have not heard anything else
 - President Coan: Sandy beach was torn up last year.
 - Director Weir: Sandy beach has always been bad though.
 - President Coan: we have documentation from when the core samples were done.

Committee Reports

Member Chaired

- **Conservation**
 - The 2 sediment basins were cleaned out, invoice has been received, and are being paid. The basins were completely full. They pulled out 7 feet of sediment out of that basin. They are absolutely working. We had planned and budgeted for it. Next step is to reclaim all the lost lake. We have plans for another basin and we're budgeting for it over the next 10 year.
 - We reviewed our budget tonight and prepared for the annual meeting. We will need about 10 minutes
 - We have an option to change the classification of the dam. Blake drafted the letter, and I have a copy for your review. It needs to get sent to the department of Natural Resources. It provides evidence that the dam is not classified
 - Secretary Shake: Please send me an electronic version, we will have Margaret get it on letterhead and get it out.
 - Director Pirtle: there was talk of lining the output
 - Dave: We are looking into that this spring.
 - President Coan: Do we need to do the sediment basin clean out more often?
 - Dave: we just have to watch it. If we have 100 year rain events every year, it will have to be done more often
 - Director Weir: Is the sandy beach basin smaller now?
 - Dave: No. it's the same as the original specs.

Minutes

- **Environmental Control**

- No Report
- President Coan: I noticed some rather large trees cut down at Greenwood and Brandy, was there a permit?
 - Margaret: Rodney gave them the verbal ok. But there wasn't any paperwork filed.
 - Director Buckowski: There has to be 2 if its a verbal
 - Margaret: That's just what I was told, I didn't hear it from Rodney himself.
 - Director Pirtle: It sounds like it to our advantage to not give verbal okays.
 - Director Stickrod confirmed at the April board meeting that he gave no verbal approval for any tree removals.

- **Road Committee**

- Our big concern right now is the big ruts and holes due mainly to the Ameren project. We got a contractor out today and attempted to repair the section of Westminster. Unfortunately when the school bus came through they dug it back out. I contacted the engineer and told him what happened and asked what we needed to do to repair these holes. He suggested a 16 inch dig for 4-6 inches of large rock, 4-6 inches of CA6 and then asphalt. It is going to be a costly repair.
- For a temporary fix, I talked with Marshall Asphalt out of Bloomington and he suggested 1-2 inch rock and use a roller to press that in and that should be good enough to get us through until permanent repairs are made. That type of equipment couldn't even get in on Fast ave. right now because of Road restrictions. With your approval we would like to spend \$2,500 to make these temporary repairs.
 - Secretary Shake: Will this be similar to what was done by the utility company on Kenton last year?
 - Shawn: Actually that was our work, similar to what we did on Westminster. Which is why were surprised the other patch didn't hold. This is in order to get us through until we have an agreement with Ameren
 - Director Weir: I think that if it's been through finance and Bob give his ok, just do it, Bob's the director over the roads.
 - Secretary Shake: It's just needs a second signature if it's a single expenditure since it's over \$2,000
 - Director Pirtle: It just doesn't make any sense to make a permanent fix until Ameren is out of here.
 - Dennis Brasch: Do we have a target end date for this work
 - Director Pirtle: We don't know for sure.
 - Dennis: So they could be here all summer?
 - Director Buckowski: From what I heard its 30 days from last Friday
 - Judy Simms: This temporary repair, are we basically going to be driving on gravel roads in those areas?
 - Shawn: Yes, for now.
 - Director Pirtle: If you remember the repairs last year on Hild Rd, this is the first step in very similar repairs.
 - Judy: But you're telling me that I'm going to have to drive on gravel roads. I do appreciate all you're doing for us, but I don't want to have to drive on gravel.
 - Director Weir: Are you keeping track of all your hours and who is helping you
 - Shawn: Yes. Fowler spend an entire 8 hour day out there. About \$375.
 - Director Weir: I'm talking about your hours, we need to keep track of all that so that we can show to Ameren how many hours volunteers we spend dealing with this.
 - Secretary Shake: Make sure you track you and any volunteers
 - President Coan: Plus your mileage.
 - President Coan: Mr. Brasch I talked to Don Keen and he said "shortly" I would guess if they said a month we should count on 2 months. Based on weather, they originally said mid summer.
- Bid work was sent out to 3 different contractors and we have gotten 2 in. We wanted to get contractors out earlier than in the past. We knew that we might reassess the roads and revise the roads that would be worked on. I've talked to them about tilling up Brandy shoulders, and the Company out of Bloomington gave us another contractor to contact that may be able to give us a

more competitive quote. We'd like to get one more bid in, and we've talked with the finance committee, they had some questions, and we are working on answers for them. We're talking about roughly 80,000 worth

- Secretary Shake: This is for the repairs on Brandy that you talked about last month?
- Shawn: That was the original bid, but we are reassessing our roads and not all of that will be done. London ct need some repair from plow.
 - Weir: Is King Richard still a priority?
 - Shawn: Yes
- Pirtle: I think what Tim is suggesting about a log of dates and hours as you work on Ameren repairs, this will give us more information as we go to

- **Finance Committee**

- Jim gave background again on the history of SSA research and the process we have been through since 2011. Please see the January minutes for this information.
- This morning we met with the Tazewell County transportation committee meeting along with our attorneys and the good news is that we came away with very positive review from them
 - They believe that this is a doable thing (non dedicated SSA)
 - They believe that the specification would not come down from the county, we can come up with our own standards.
 - Dennis: The 3.2 million number does not include the ditches or culverts?
 - Jim: We will have more detailed information at the annual meeting, but that did include culverts on Heritage drive and entrance roads.
 - Don: So at the annual meeting, will there be a vote or is this just informative.
 - Jim: We're going to inform. I don't have anything to vote on. If a motion comes to the floor, there's nothing I can do with that, but I will be reporting on behalf of the finance committee
 - Shawn: So you're still concentrating on the rings roads?
 - Jim: The 3.2 is just the ring roads yeas, so we've moved on to the side roads.
 - Shawn: So now we're looking at all the roads?
 - Jim: Yes, ever since it became apparent that the dedication was probably not feasible.
 - Shawn: Does this include the maintenance program?
 - Jim: I'm going to simplify this into 4 buckets
 - Capital bucket: Big expenses at one, total reconstruction of some roads.
 - Localized Patching: Westminster as an example, we can get away with patching and a seal coat
 - Ongoing maintenance: roads in good shape that just need intermittent sealing to maintain.
 - Culverts; this is a big one. Will need prioritization.
 - Shawn: So exactly the same thing the Road committee is doing
 - Director Weir: Does the new plan have Heritage Drive as Asphalt?
 - Jim: It doesn't have any specifications yet.
 - Secretary Shake: So have you taken the road committee plan and started with that? What you just said sounds just like what the road committee has been telling us for months. We've got some roads that need total reconstruction, some that need repair and some that just need sealing/maintenance program. Is this the same roads they've put into those groups or is this a new one?
 - Jim: We are taking from the engineering firm. It is a direct parallel to what the road committee is doing, and the road committee is doing whatever they can with their limited money.
 - Secretary Shake: I just want to make sure we're not duplicating work and wasting our time and paying an engineering firm to tell us what the road committee has put together. It seem like there's been a lot of that over the last 4 months, the road committee is doing one thing and the finance committee is doing another, and it doesn't seem like there has been good communication between the two, and I want to make sure that doesn't continue to be an issue. The joint meeting that you guys had over the past month is a good start to that, but I'm hearing you say things

that I remember Shawn telling us in months past I want to make sure we're talking about the same thing and not going to butt heads again.

- Jim: I'll be happy to clarify that
- Kurt Lempke: There 2 very separate things. One is here's \$50,000, do the best you can and another is here \$3 million to fix the problems
- Secretary Shake: Except what Shawn has been tell us is that part of the 5 year plan is we're looking at the roads and saying these need total reconstruction, these roads are in ok shape they need patching. These roads are in decent shape and just need sealing to maintain them. This is what Shawn has been saying for the last 3 months. Correct me if I'm wrong Jim, but that's exactly the description of the buckets you just gave us.
- Shawn: We cannot correct the roads in 5 years on the money we're taking in now. The only way to accomplish this 5 year plan that everyone wants to see is to take in way more money.
- Jim: Let me ask you a question. The county will not take the road committee saying we think this or that. It requires professional contractors to render that opinion.
- Secretary Shake: So we can't come up with our own standards then?
- Jim: We are not engineers, the Road committee cannot go to the county and say this is our plan will you accept this. The Road committee will have to be heavily involved. As we've seen there's been significant changes to the roads that need repair from the fall to now.
- Shawn: We went into in knowing we would be doing reassessment in the spring and spray patching on those roads.
- Jim: The finance committee's goal has never been at odds with the Road committee, we're just trying to figure out a funding a mechanism in order to expedite the process.
- Jim: So today, we have agreement from the Transportation committee to put this on the agenda for discussion at the next meeting so that if and when it comes up for a vote they are informed and don't have to delay. Something like this has been done in several other local places but never in Tazewell county. There is some hesitation of the unknown among the county board members, so the attorney for the transportation committee is putting together information for them.
- We have a request from the Tazewell county attorney is to put together a set of specifications and how we came to this. The Burdon is 100% on HLA residents to pay back. Secondly, there is a concern that while they are not providing specifications, they like what they heard from us, but they want to make sure it's not 6 guys in a pickup throwing down cold patch.
- The second part that was approved by the board with Herrman and Associates, we stopped them short because of this hold up from the county. We have asked them to move forward with an assessment of the roads, on a scale of 1-10 on 10 different items, they assessed the roads for us. They have developed a report on the early parts of that to move forward with the county. If we want them to move forward with specifications that we would be able to discuss at the annual meeting, and a set of specifications for the county we need to approve additional funding. I do not have a scope of the work, but I can provide you with an estimates. From the finance committee standpoint, this is also new information that has just come in today. Bonnie Lempke agrees with this and her recommendation as the chair of finance is to move forward with this.
- Additional amount would be another 7,900 for the Roadway improvement planning engineering update.
 - Treasurer Waldorf: So the additional dollars get us what from what was originally approved
 - Jim: We will have some numbers that we will be able to share with the membership at what a SSA will potentially cost.
 - Treasurer Waldorf: Wasn't that included in the original?
 - Jim: It was not, the original was just for the main roads and would have to be duplicated for the side roads.
 - Secretary Shake: So this addendum gets us all the side roads?

HLA Board Meeting March 16, 2015
Minutes

- Jim: That is correct.
- Director Pirtle: I'm looking at a bill from them, what have we paid so far?
 - Jim: I can't speak to that. 1A was, 1B was, and this would be an additional charge. It give us preliminary specs and numbers. There's a lot of work that will still need to be done, and engineers will have to be involved throughout the process.
 - Director Weir: Is the county requiring that?
 - Jim: yes
- Director Pirtle: At some point I think I recall you saying that in order to get things finished to get bids for work that the engineer may accumulate an additional \$90,000 in expenses.
 - Jim: I'm not sure what that number is, the number that is given as a rule of thumb is 10-15% of total cost. When we were looking at a cost of 3-3.5 million The engineering cost would have been about that yes.
 - Director Pirtle: SO if we end yup with a project that costs 4 million, we should anticipate an additional 10% in engineering fees.
 - Jim: 10-15% is what the county says yes.
 - Director Weir: so 400 to 600 thousand
- Bud: What the SSA is going to do is give you total reconstruction of all the roadways. What the road committee is trying to do is maintain the roads with \$80,000 to \$100,000 a year. There is no way we can maintain these roads with the county specifications. We're just trying to maintain with what we have. It will take a long time to do but it can be done. One or two roads a year is all you can do.
 - Jim: I just want to be clear, the dedication is off the table
 - Bud: It doesn't make a difference the county is setting the specifications
 - Jim: no the county is not setting the specifications.
 - Bud: But they have to approve them whether the roads are dedicated or not and we can't do that on \$100,000 a year.
- Secretary Shake: So Jim I'm confused...
 - Jim: Let me be clear, they meeting this morning the county said that they would not have road specifications that we would have to meet.
 - President Coan: They want us to get a proposal from our engineering firm that they approve and they felt that they didn't want to back the bond without solid expert knowledge. It has nothing to do withBut we're trying to get something that will also possibly be able to roll over and be continued.
 - Secretary Shake: You started this conversation saying that the specifications would not come down from the county, we can set our own standards. Yet the county is dictating that we have to hire an engineer and they have to approve the plan, so isn't that then dictating the standards? If they don't approve the plan, we have to then change the plan to fit what they want in order to get the SSA. Isn't that them dictating the standards?
 - Jim: No. Let me be clear, no.
 - Secretary Shake: Then how are they not dictating what we have to do?
 - Treasurer Waldorf: They're dictating the use of an engineering firm, and I'm assuming some sort of licensing standards that have to be adhered to that relates to the liability to the plan. They're looking for that liability to be covered by the licensing and bonding of an engineering firm.
 - Secretary Shake: I get that, but what I'm hearing you saying is that the county board has to approve our plan. That the engineer can say this is an acceptable standard and the county can say no, that's not county specs we're not approving your plan. What I'm hearing you say is that not only do we have to hire an engineer, but the county board has to approve the engineers plan. That puts final approval of the plan back in the hands of the county board, and so they get to dictate what we have to do. Does it not?

HLA Board Meeting March 16, 2015
Minutes

- Jim: They want to know that the set of specifications are going to be legit. We don't want to end up with a specification where we would be paying for roads will be no longer usable. What we are dealing with is a political situation at its core. We are residents and we are voters and they are aware of that and willing to try and keep us happy. They are not imposing the county specifications on us. Could they say no, yes they could, but we are not going to put out a weak specification at the end of the day.
- Director Weir: They want to make sure that we're doing it correctly and we know what they're doing.

Note: the recording failed at this point. Notes from this point forward are those that were taken during the course of the meeting.

- Pete: So if we do the SSA, we're still doing the work on the roads.
 - Jim: Let's just say we spend 2 million year 1 to reconstruct some roads, patch and seal some roads and just seal others, in years after that we would seal coat some roads. Every so many year we would plan for upgrades that need to be done to some roads. We would have localized patching and chip and tar. Over the course of the entire time, we're proposing upgrading those that need it, maintains the rest and keeps the process going long term
 - Pete: So how long is this SSA going?
 - Jim: Forever. The finance committee's goal is to eliminate the road fund and the road fund would become the SSA.
 - Pete: So how much will our taxes go up?
 - Jim: we don't know. We just got this information this morning that it was possible. We have to find the tipping point of what the membership is willing to pay as an ongoing thing, because the reality is this is going to be ongoing.
 - Dan: what would your goal be? You've got to have a number don't you.
 - Jim: The number we threw out last year was between \$400 and \$600
- Pirtle: So tonight you're asking the board to approve an additional \$7,920 so we can have numbers for Saturday
 - Jim: Yes
 - Director Pirtle: Do you anticipate having a proposal on Saturday for numbers to fund an SSA?
 - Jim: That is my goal to be as close as possible, but we are on a short timeline. Herrmann & Associates has expressed that they can get his done. Here's the bottom line. We'll be 26,000 into this. We have more information about our roads than we've ever had, including culverts that are a tremendous liability. The last thing I'll say is that if we don't move forward now, we won't be able to with this county board. They are tired of talking about it too.
 - Director Pirtle: So our goodwill with the county has an expiration date.
 - Jim: They're super nice about it, but yes.
 - Pirtle: At some point we've got to get a number of per member per year for how many years. So for me to justify another 8,000 if that number ends up being 1,000 forever it's not going to pass.
 - Jim: What we're trying to put together is a 25 year road projection. I look at this as capital and maintenance. The maintenance never ends and the more money in the maintenance column, the less you have to reconstruct. We can't afford the best road with the least maintenance. Our goal is to build a more optimum road that will last better than anything we have today. We're going to maintain that road. We're going to project out 25 years of upgrades that will need to be done (simplified, every 3 years a little bit, every 9 year a big bit). The rest of finance will determine how this all comes together, because we don't have all this expense on day one.

HLA Board Meeting March 16, 2015
Minutes

- Director Pirtle: so as one of the board members present I am really hesitant. What I recommend is that the finance committee and Herrmann work with what we've already approved.
- Treasurer Waldorf: We have talked about a reconvene, and I just think we're talking about too quick of a timeline.
- Pete: If we do this SSA, how is that going to impact home sales?
 - Director Weir: The nicer roads are going to help. It is a deterrent to some people. If more expensive house it's probably not going to have as much of an impact as a smaller house.
 - Treasurer Waldorf: on the flip side, people will be saying that based on other communities our assessments are extremely low.
 - Director Weir: You'll get both camps.
 - Jim: There's two sides of it. One member was saying that she was concerned that her property values were going to go down because of the roads.
- Jim: The sheet that I passed around, the top part of this is work that was done to date. We asked them to go outside the scope of the original work but within the scope of the original budget. We are now moving towards a non dedicated SSA.
 - Director Pirtle: SO the dollars to date are what?
 - Director Weir: approximately \$8,300
 - Jim: We are within the scope of the budget, \$8,920 for 1B
 - Secretary Shale: SO this is showing us everything that gets us to the 17,0000 number.
 - Jim: Yes.
 - Director Pirtle: I have issue with this. The finance committee has held the board's feet to the fire on expenses, but the board approved an amount for a particular outcome and now that outcome has been changed without board approval?
 - Jim: We have not exceeded the scope or budget. Because the scope of the project changed, we felt that moving forward in a non-dedicated road situation was in the best interest of moving the project forward.
 - Director Pirtle: SO if I asked the finance committee for 10 grand for a piece of equipment, and then I decide that something else would be better, am I ok to still spend the 10 grand?
 - Jim: I guess you're going to have to see if that makes sense.
 - Director Pirtle: I'm asking you. You change the work that the board agreed to without asking the board.
 - Jim: We approved engineering hours to an outcome. The outcome changed, we switched the hours to a new outcome.
 - Director Pirtle: The fact of the matter is that the finance committee changed what they asked the engineering firm to do without getting the approval of the board. The board approved an outcome.
 - Jim: We couldn't get that outcome because Tazewell county changed their direction.
 - Director Pirtle: I'm saying in the future, I want to have the same checks and balances for you guys.
 - Jim: If you don't want me to do any more work for the board, I'd be happy to sit down and not do this.
 - Director Pirtle: That is not at all what I said.
 - Jim: If you were to come to finance and wanted to buy a piece of equipment and you decided you wanted to dig holes instead of digging ditches could you make a change? You would have to make a decision if it was reasonable. I talked to the president about this, there wasn't a board meeting to have a discussion, and we approved it within the scope of the budget.
 - Director Pirtle: You can talk around it all you want, but the board approved the money for an outcome. You decided to spend those dollars for a different outcome. I'm saying in the future, whoever is spending the board's money needs to get a change in direction approved from the board.

HLA Board Meeting March 16, 2015
Minutes

- Kurt: If we get numbers from Herrman and Associates in 5 days, that's not really a valid presentation because the county has not approved it.
 - Jim: what I would envision is that we ask the board to enter into a negotiation with Tazewell county with a set of parameters. What has changed is that we now have a pretty straight direction forward from the county and we need to negotiate from moving forward, not trying to negotiate from the back. We won't have final approval and everything associated with that from Tazewell county for 4 to 6 months, and that's going to be a negotiation. In the opinion of finance we can't increase the
 - Director Pirtle: I resent this last minute you have no choice but to spend another 8,000 to keep the ball rolling. I'm not willing to spend 26,000 of the membership's money to get numbers in the next 5 days.
 - Jim: It's a preliminary plan.
 - Treasurer Waldorf: Is it an engineering plan?
 - Jim: it's a doable number, but not a final number
- Jim: So what we're saying that we're going to stop short over 7.18 per lot. And we're going to not fund the specifications that have been requested for the board meeting next Wednesday at their board meeting
 - Treasurer Waldorf: So it's not just the 5 days for the annual meeting. If we miss the county board meeting on Wednesday we're done?
 - Director Weir: We could ask them to push us back to next month.
 - Treasurer Waldorf: So if we went to the following meeting it's within our 45 day reconvene meeting, we have several opportunities to have informational meetings.
 - Kurt: From a purely political standpoint, I would not want to stand before the membership and say we've spend this and need more.
 - Jim: where we were headed was to have numbers on the dedicated roads, and instead we are going to have numbers for all roads.
- Director Pirtle: Mr. President, I recommend that we let the record show that the finance committee asked for another \$7,900 and the board declined and we move on. The finance committee can make a presentation at the annual meeting, or not make a presentation at the annual meeting whatever we want to do.
- Director Weir: I still have questions, where will we get the additional 8,000 that is not in our budget?
 - Jim: Bonnie's opinion was that only the moneys from Ameren would pay all this and from a cash flow standpoint we are fine.
 - Secretary Shake: How much did we get from Ameren?
 - Director Weir: approximately 25,000.
- Treasurer Waldorf: So Bob are you asking for a flat out not move forward or try not to do it in 5 days?
 - Director Pirtle: My suggestion is that we don't approve this tonight and we get the feel from the room at the annual meeting.
 - Jim: You can't make a negative motion, so either you make a motion to approve it or you make no motion.
 - Treasurer Waldorf: so what you're saying is that tonight we're not taking a vote to move forward, but we're not totally stopping it either.
 - Director Pirtle: I agree.
- Director Weir: Do we know how the finance committee is planning on the SSA being paid back?
 - Jim: Tazewell county is preferential to a % of assessment. If we provided them with an annual number per member, they have not flat out refused it, but they are not highly in favor of it.

President Coan had to leave. Secretary Shake became the chair of the meeting, quorum is still met with 5 directors present.

Board Chaired

- **Maintenance**
 - Had some more plow breakdowns, back up
 - Had a rash of stop signs hit
 - Will installed
 - Graffiti on the wall facing the dog park
 - Enough salt and cinders for the year
 - It's cold patch season
 - Exposed culverts at Sandy beach
 - Asked maintenance to keep separate track of work that is realistically from Ameren.
 - Ladies restroom repairs starting at lodge
 - Taking down split rail fences, going to clean up the area near the boat ramp
 - Going to work on the boat ramp
 - Grid up stumps we have gut down drees
 - Need to get a lawn roller to repair ruts in shoulders so we can mow safely.
 - Beach House going to put in new partitions and new lights, maybe sky lights, clean up shower areas.
 - Any brush from the boat ramp to the beach will be cleaned up.
 - Got a donated a wood rack for the lodge
 - Will paint and reseal the tennis courts. Also need to repair the net.
 - At the campground we need to continue the work started last year.
 - We'll also get started on the ball diamonds.
 - Requesting 5 minutes at the annual meeting to generate buzz for the volunteer days.
 - Let's Shine for Memorial Day!!!
- **Office Org.**
 - Annual Meeting Prep
 - Need committee chairs to send Margaret names, phones #'s and e-mail addresses for all committee members please. Will send request e-mail.
- **Pool & Lodge**
 - Pool
 - Cut down a couple trees
 - Got a pool painting bid in, 33,250. Sandblast, patch, and paint 2 coats.
 - Had one other contractor come out to look he will get a bid.
 - Lodge
 - Bob has already talked about Lodge repairs in progress. Good Work!
- **Administrative & HR**
 - None
- **Building & Zoning & Security**
 - Vandalism at the dog park.
 - Need to set up a sticker date Golf carts April 11, 11am
- **Outdoor Amenities**
 - No Report
- **Website**
 - No Report
- **Social**
 - Easter Egg Hunt Saturday March 28th, at the lodge.
 - Spring Garage Sales are May 2nd. Registration forms will be available on the website and at the office starting Next week.
 - Next Planning item – Pool party in June. Contact me if you are interested in helping.
 - Need 5 minutes at annual meeting.

Unfinished Business

- **Bryce Thompson**
 - \$925 reimbursement for 8 trees plus labor.
 - Motion Director Weir
 - Treasurer Waldorf Second
 - 4-0, motion passes
- **Fireplace Procedures**
 - Need from Rodney
- **Annual Meeting**
 - Had several damaged Mailings, all that we got back went back out last Thursday. Have put out a notice that if members did not receive their annual meeting mailings to contact the office ASAP. We have receive a few inquiries, so I the message has been seen.

New Business

None

Open Floor for Members

None

Meeting Adjournment

Motion By: Director Pirtle

Seconded By: Director Weir

Vote tally: 4-0